

## **Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council**

#### Laws of Minnesota 2018 Accomplishment Plan

#### **General Information**

Date: 07/27/2021

Project Title: Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase VII

Funds Recommended: \$1,229,000

Legislative Citation: ML 2018, Ch. 208, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd 3(a)

**Appropriation Language:** \$1,229,000 the second year is to the Board of Water and Soil Resources, in cooperation with the Morrison County Soil and Water Conservation District and The Conservation Fund, to acquire permanent conservation easements and restore forest wildlife habitat within the boundaries of the Minnesota National Guard Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape and Army Compatible Use Buffer. Of this amount, \$39,000 is to the Morrison County Soil and Water Conservation District, \$207,000 is to The Conservation Fund, and \$983,000 is to the Board of Water and Soil Resources. Up to \$45,500 to the Board of Water and Soil Resources is to establish a monitoring and enforcement fund as approved in the accomplishment plan and subject to Minnesota Statutes, section 97A.056, subdivision 17. A list of permanent conservation easements must be provided as part of the final report.

Amendment Description: Amendment requested to update parcel list.

CF / MN Heritage Forest parcel addition: No

## **Manager Information**

Manager's Name: Shannon Wettstein

Title: Director

**Organization:** Morrison SWCD **Address:** 16776 Heron Rd **City:** Little Falls, MN 56345

Email: shannon.wettstein@morrisonswcd.org

**Office Number:** 320-616-2479

Mobile Number: Fax Number:

Website: morrisonswcd.org

#### **Location Information**

**County Location(s):** Cass, Crow Wing and Morrison.

Eco regions in which work will take place:

- Northern Forest
- Forest / Prairie Transition

#### **Activity types:**

- Protect in Easement
- Protect in Fee

#### Priority resources addressed by activity:

- Wetlands
- Forest

#### **Narrative**

#### **Abstract**

This Phase 7 continuation of the RIM Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscap ACUB Partnership will utilize permanent conservation easements to acquire 440 acres of high quality habitat along the Crow Wing, Gull, Nokasippi, and Mississippi River corridors. Approximately 7 easements will be secured within the project area. BWSR will utilize the RIM Easement process in partnership with the Morrison SWCD to secure easements on sites within Crow Wing, Cass, and Morrison Counties during the appropriation term. In addition The Conservation Fund will acquire 117-ac. in fee from Tiller Corp. then transfer to DNR Little Nokasippi River WMA

#### **Design and Scope of Work**

The purpose of this initiative is to protect approximately 440 acres of fish, game, migratory bird, and forest habitat along the Crow Wing, Gull, Nokasippi, and Mississippi River corridors within an approximately five mile radius of Camp Ripley. Phase 7 of this successful project plans to secure approximately 7 permanent conservation easements, utilizing partnerships with Camp Ripley, a State Game Refuge, BWSR, and the Morrison SWCD. Easement acquisition will occur in those portions of Morrison, Crow Wing, and Cass County that lie within this five mile radius.

Easement acquisition in this area will protect these river corridors, which are critical to the general public for fishing, hunting, and recreational opportunities.

Fee acquisition is focused upon the approximately 125-acre Tiller Tract that would be a critical addition to the Little Nokasippi River WMA. This parcel, part of which was a gravel pit, is an inholding that limits the ability to sustainably manage the WMA as long as it is in private ownership. No OHF funds are requested at this time. The City of Fort Ripley has applied for assistance to move Over the Hill Road southward. The  $\sim$ 2.5 acre gravel pit will need restoration and it is anticipated that other funding sources may be used once the property is under state ownership, or that some of the gravel pit be developed into WMA parking area. The remainder of the property is forested.

Parcels are targeted that have quality existing habitat, protect corridors and large habitat complexes and reduce

future fragmentation. Evaluation criteria include ecological and habitat factors for resident and migratory wildlife species. The target area has been limited to ensure a concentration of easement acquisitions that provide landscape scale benefits and will allow for protection of some very large forested parcels that are in imminent threat of conversion.

The forested region of these three counties is where agriculture transitions to state forested lands. Thousands of acres just outside of this work area are being converted from forest to annual cropping, primarily for potato production. Forests not only provide critical wildlife habitat for furbearing animals and turkeys, the forests lessen the impact of water quality contamination from agricultural practices. This region of the state, most specifically Morrison County, is the fastest growing county in the state for the loss of grazing land to annual cropping. The loss of forests would exaggerate that problem even more. Drinking water standards in the area are also in jeopardy with the increase in irrigation and large scale livestock operations, so protecting the forested lands has become a critical issue.

In 2016, Camp Ripley was designated as a federal Sentinel Landscape, one of six in the nation. This designation by both State and Federal entities coordinates strategies to protect this landscape. Many agencies will be identifying their scope of priorities within the Sentinel Landscape ten-mile boundary. As one of the strategies of this Sentinel Landscape effort, this proposal seizes the opportunity to expand the Camp Ripley ACUB borders to a five miles for easements.

# How does the plan address habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and list targeted species?

These lands provide habitat for several Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN), which include the Northern Long-Eared Bat (threatened), Bald Eagle, and Gray Wolf. There are a total of 65 SGCN found on Camp Ripley. Camp Ripley is home to one of the southern-most wolf populations in Minnesota and the state's highest population of Red-shouldered Hawks. In a 2006 study at Camp Ripley, it was found that the amount of mature deciduous forest was positively associated with Red-shouldered Hawk nest sites.

MN DNR 2009 and 2010 fish surveys on the Crow Wing River (Staples to confluence of Mississippi) and Mississippi River (Brainerd to Little Falls) indicate high quality fish communities of Walleye, Muskellunge, and Small-mouth Bass.

The majority of the project area falls within the Anoka Sand Plain and the Hardwood Hills Ecological Subsections. Currently much of the Hardwood Hills subsection is farmed. Important areas of forest and prairie exist, but they are small and fragmented. Urban development and agriculture occur in 1/3 of the Anoka Sand Plain. This project seeks to protect remaining lands from the threat of development or agricultural pressure, as well as sustain the current connectivity of these habitats.

# Describe how the plan uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey:

Parcels are targeted that have quality existing habitat, protect corridors and large habitat complexes and will reduce future fragmentation. Evaluation criteria include ecological and habitat factors for resident and migratory wildlife species. The target area has been limited to ensure a concentration of easement acquisitions that provide landscape scale benefits. The target work area also contains high value existing habitat and public access via existing WMAs that are being buffered with easements.

The MN County Biological survey has identified several native plant communities within this project area that are vulnerable to extirpation, as well as sites with existing high biodiversity. Most of these identified areas lie within the northern extent of this 5 mile boundary, which also happens to face high development pressure due to its proximity to the cities of Baxter & Brainerd. Protecting these parcels is a high priority for the ACUB Program.

# Which two sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are most applicable to this project?

- H1 Protect priority land habitats
- H2 Protect critical shoreland of streams and lakes

#### Which two other plans are addressed in this program?

- Minnesota Forest Resource Council Landscape Plans
- Outdoor Heritage Fund: A 25 Year Framework

#### Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?

#### **Forest / Prairie Transition**

• Protect, enhance, and restore wild rice wetlands, shallow lakes, wetland/grassland complexes, aspen parklands, and shoreland that provide critical habitat for game and nongame wildlife

#### **Northern Forest**

• Provide access to manage habitat on landlocked public properties or protect forest land from parcelization and fragmentation through fee acquisition, conservation or access easement

## Does this program include leveraged funding?

-

#### **Non-OHF Appropriations**

Year	Source	Amount
2007 - Present	Department of Defense (DOD)/National Guard Bureau (NGB) to BWSR/Morrison SWCD	\$31,560,176
2010 - Present	LCCMR	\$160,000
2018	Department of Defense (DOD)/National Guard Bureau (NGB) to TCF	\$2,200,000

### How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?

Once a RIM easement is acquired, BWSR is responsible for monitoring and enforcement into perpetuity. The BWSR partners with local SWCDs to carry-out oversight, monitoring and enforcement of its conservation easements. Easements are monitored for the first five consecutive years beginning in the year after the easement is recorded. Thereafter, on-site monitoring is performed every three years and compliance checks are performed in the other two years. SWCDs report to BWSR on each site inspection conducted and partners' staff document findings. A non-compliance procedure is implemented when potential violations or problems are identified.

Perpetual monitoring and stewardship costs have been calculated at \$6,500 per easement. This value is based on using local SWCD staff for monitoring and landowner relations and existing enforcement authorities. The amount listed for Easement Stewardship covers costs of the SWCD regular monitoring, BWSR oversight, and enforcement.

The Tiller Tract will be included in the managment of the Little Nokasippi River WMA. The city/county road on the parcel is a source of erosion into the river. Camp Ripely will coordinate with the city of Fort Ripley to move the road back from the river as additional project leverage, not an OHF cost.

#### **Actions to Maintain Project Outcomes**

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
2018-Ongoing	Stewardship Account	Compliance Checks first 5 years then every 3rd year.	Corrective actions of any violations.	Enforcement Action taken by MN Attorney Generals office.
2018-Ongoing	Landowner Responsibility	Maintain compliance with easement terms.	-	-
2018-Ongoing	Camp Ripley	Road relocation & initial restoration	-	-

## **Activity Details**

#### Requirements

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056?

Yes

Will local government approval be sought prior to acquisition?

No

#### Describe any measures to inform local governments of land acquisition under their jurisdiction:

All counties within the Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape have been briefed directly and have of record resolutions of support for the ACUB RIM easement program. The fee acquisition site was approved by Crow Wing County resolution as a boundary approval when the Little Nokasippi WMA was first developed/started in 2006. Since both programs (ACUB-RIM easement and DNR WMA) began, biennial public meetings, multiple field trip events and celebrations have occurred that invited public and local official participation. The Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape program is well embraced by local government and by state and federal partners as well.

Is the land you plan to acquire (fee title) free of any other permanent protection?

Yes

#### Is the land you plan to acquire (easement) free of any other permanent protection?

Yes

#### Who will manage the easement?

BWSR will be the responsible party for monitoring and enforcing easements.

#### Who will be the easement holder?

BWSR will be the easement holder.

#### **Land Use**

#### Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program?

No

#### Is this land currently open for hunting and fishing?

No

#### Will the land be open for hunting and fishing after completion?

Yes

#### Describe any variation from the State of Minnesota regulations:

The fee acquisition of the Tiller site will be added to the DNR Little Nokasippi WMA and will be managed open to the public per WMA Management Plan.

#### Who will eventually own the fee title land?

The Minnesota DNR will eventually own the fee title land.

#### Will the eased land be open for public use?

No

#### Are there currently trails or roads on any of the proposed acquisitions?

Yes

#### Describe the types of trails or roads and the allowable uses:

This appropriation is funding a program that will have a parcel list identified at a later time. Roads or trails are typically excluded from the easement area if they serve no beneficial purpose to easement maintenance, monitoring, or enforcement. Though uncommon, there could be a potential for new trails to be developed, if they contribute to easement maintenance or benefit the easement site (e.g. firebreaks, berm maintenance, etc). Unauthorized trails identified during the monitoring process are in violation of the easement.

The Tiller site has road access to the city of Fort Ripley cemetery, used by the city. The plan is to work with Tiller to donate that southerly 6-acres to the city of Fort Ripley and not include that in the WMA tract. The rest of the Tiller property has been privately owned and posted for no trespassing to date. No trails or trail corridors are planned for the site.

### Will the trails or roads remain and uses continue to be allowed after OHF acquisition?

Yes

#### How will maintenance and monitoring be accomplished?

The easements secured under this project will be managed as part of the MN Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR) RIM Reserve Program that has over 6,500 easements currently in place. Easements are monitored annually for each of the first 5 years and then every 3rd year after that. BWSR, in cooperation with Soil and Water Conservation Districts (SWCD), implement a stewardship process to track, monitor quality and assure compliance with easement terms.

Under the terms of the Reinvest In Minnesota (RIM) Easement Program, landowners are required to maintain compliance with the easement. A conservation plan is developed with the landowner and maintained as part of each easement. Basic easement compliance costs are borne by the landowner, periodic enhancements may be cost shared from a variety of sources.

The Tiller site acquisition will be maintained and actively managed by DNR WMA staff, Brainerd area office.

# Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition? Yes

#### Describe the types of trails or roads and the allowable uses:

Though uncommon, there could be a potential for new trails to be developed, if they contribute to easement maintenance or benefit the easement site (e.g. firebreaks, berm maintenance, etc). Though uncommon, there could be a potential for new trails to be developed, if they contribute to easement maintenance or benefit the easement site (e.g. firebreaks, berm maintenance, etc). Unauthorized trails identified during the monitoring process are in violation of the easement.

The same answer would apply to the Tiller site acquisition. Only as a function of WMA management would fire breaks be developed or similar management needs.

#### How will maintenance and monitoring be accomplished?

The easements secured under this project will be managed as part of the MN Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR) RIM Reserve Program that has over 6,500 easements currently in place. Easements are monitored annually for each of the first 5 years and then every 3rd year after that. BWSR, in cooperation with Soil and Water Conservation Districts (SWCD), implement a stewardship process to track, monitor quality and assure compliance with easement terms.

Under the terms of the Reinvest In Minnesota (RIM) Easement Program, landowners are required to maintain compliance with the easement. A conservation plan is developed with the landowner and maintained as part of each easement. Basic easement compliance costs are borne by the landowner, periodic enhancements may be cost shared from a variety of sources.

The Tiller site acquisition would be managed and monitored by DNR WMA staff, Brainerd office

## **Timeline**

Activity Name	Estimated Completion Date
Obtain applications from eligible landowners	June 30,2018
Allocations to specific parcels	July 30,2018
Easements recorded	June 30, 2021
Final report submitted	November 1, 2021
Purchase of Tiller Tract and transfer into DNR ownership	August 2018

**Date of Final Report Submission:** 11/01/2021

## Budget

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan.

## **Grand Totals Across All Partnerships**

Item	<b>Funding Request</b>	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$98,900	-	-	\$98,900
Contracts	-	-	-	-
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	\$137,500	\$412,500	-, Department of Defense	\$550,000
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	-	-	-	-
Easement Acquisition	\$852,200	-	-	\$852,200
Easement Stewardship	\$45,500	-	-	\$45,500
Travel	\$1,800	-	-	\$1,800
Professional Services	\$54,000	-	-	\$54,000
Direct Support Services	\$30,700	-	-	\$30,700
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$5,000	-	-	\$5,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	ı
Other Equipment/Tools	\$2,600	-	-	\$2,600
Supplies/Materials	\$800	-	-	\$800
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
<b>Grand Total</b>	\$1,229,000	\$412,500	-	\$1,641,500

## **Partner: BWSR**

## Totals

Item	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$68,900	-	-	\$68,900
Contracts	-	-	-	-
Fee Acquisition w/	-	-	-	-
PILT				
Fee Acquisition w/o	-	-	-	-
PILT				
Easement Acquisition	\$852,200	-	-	\$852,200
Easement	\$45,500	-	-	\$45,500
Stewardship				
Travel	\$1,800	-	-	\$1,800
Professional Services	-	-	-	-
Direct Support	\$11,200	-	-	\$11,200
Services				
DNR Land Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Costs				
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other	\$2,600	-	-	\$2,600
Equipment/Tools				
Supplies/Materials	\$800	-	-	\$800
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
<b>Grand Total</b>	\$983,000	-	-	\$983,000

## Personnel

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Easement Processing	0.09	3.0	\$18,800	-	-	\$18,800
Program Management	0.13	3.5	\$50,100	-	-	\$50,100

## **Partner: Morrison SWCD**

## Totals

Item	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	-	-	-	-
Contracts	-	-	-	-
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	-	-	-	-
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	-	-	-	-
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement Stewardship	-	-	-	-
Travel	-	-	-	-
Professional Services	\$39,000	-	-	\$39,000
Direct Support Services	-	-	-	-
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	-	-	-	-
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other Equipment/Tools	-	-	-	-
Supplies/Materials	-	-	-	-
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
<b>Grand Total</b>	\$39,000	-	-	\$39,000

#### **Partner: The Conservation Fund**

#### **Totals**

Item	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$30,000	-	-	\$30,000
Contracts	-	-	-	-
Fee Acquisition w/	\$137,500	\$412,500	Department of	\$550,000
PILT			Defense	
Fee Acquisition w/o	-	-	-	-
PILT				
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement	-	-	-	-
Stewardship				
Travel	-	-	-	-
Professional Services	\$15,000	-	-	\$15,000
Direct Support	\$19,500	-	-	\$19,500
Services				
DNR Land Acquisition	\$5,000	-	-	\$5,000
Costs				
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-
Equipment/Tools				
Supplies/Materials	-	-	-	-
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	\$207,000	\$412,500	-	\$619,500

#### Personnel

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
State Director	0.15	1.0	\$30,000	-	-	\$30,000

**Amount of Request:** \$1,229,000 **Amount of Leverage:** \$412,500

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 33.56%

**DSS + Personnel:** \$129,600

As a % of the total request: 10.55% Easement Stewardship: \$45,500

As a % of the Easement Acquisition: 5.34%

# How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

Acquisition of the Tiller tract is still feasible through the reduced recommendation amount. Approximately 1/3 of the originally proposed easements and acres will be protected with this appropriation, prorating from 22 easements on 1,320 acres to approximately 7 easements on 440 acres.

#### Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:

There is no direct leverage for this project. This project would contribute to the goals of the Sentinel Landscape initiative. However, to date the Federal Government has contributed over \$33,000,000 to other protection projects within the Sentinel Landscape project area.

#### **Easement Stewardship**

What is the number of easements anticipated, cost per easement for stewardship, and explain how that amount is calculated?

#### **Travel**

Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental?

Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging

I understand and agree that lodging, meals, and mileage must comply with the current MMB Commissioner Plan:

No

#### **Direct Support Services**

How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program?

BWSR calculates direct support services costs that are directly related to and necessary for each request based on the type of work being done. The Conservation Fund budgets the total of DSS and professional services as 9% of purchase price, but will only bill for actual hours spent on the project.

#### **Federal Funds**

**Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program?** Yes

Are the funds confirmed?

Yes

• Cash: \$412,500

## **Output Tables**

## **Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)**

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	<b>Total Acres</b>
Restore	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	125	0	125
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	44	0	396	0	440
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0
Total	44	0	521	0	565

## **Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)**

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	<b>Total Funding</b>
Restore	-	ı	ı	ı	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-		\$207,000	-	\$207,000
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	\$102,200		\$919,800	-	\$1,022,000
Enhance	-	-	-	1	-
Total	\$102,200	-	\$1,126,800	-	\$1,229,000

## **Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)**

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Acres
Restore	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	125	0	0	0	125
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	220	0	0	220	440
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	345	0	0	220	565

## **Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)**

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	\$207,000	-	-	-	\$207,000
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	\$511,000	-	-	\$511,000	\$1,022,000
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-	•
Total	-	\$718,000	-	-	\$511,000	\$1,229,000

## **Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)**

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat
Restore	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	\$1,656	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	\$2,322	-	\$2,322	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-

## **Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)**

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	\$1,656	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State	-	-	-	-	-

PILT Liability					
Protect in Easement	-	\$2,322	-	-	\$2,322
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-

### Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

2.7 miles

#### **Outcomes**

#### **Programs in forest-prairie transition region:**

• Rivers and streams provide corridors of habitat including intact areas of forest cover in the east and large wetland/upland complexes in the west ~ A summary of the total of wetland acres and associated forest land secured under easement through this appropriation will be reported. On-site inspections are performed every three years and compliance checks are performed in the other two years to ensure maintained outcomes. Sustained habitat availability within a certain region is expected to maintain the carrying capacity of associated wildlife within that region. This would affect both game and nongame species. We expect sustained populations of endangered, threatened, special concern and game species as these easements are secured.

### Programs in the northern forest region:

• Forestlands are protected from development and fragmentation ~ A summary of the total of wetland acres and associated forest land secured under easement through this appropriation will be reported. On-site inspections are performed every three years and compliance checks are performed in the other two years to ensure maintained outcomes. Sustained habitat availability within a certain region is expected to maintain the carrying capacity of associated wildlife within that region. This would affect both game and nongame species. We expect sustained populations of endangered, threatened, special concern and game species as these easements are secured.

#### **Parcels**

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

#### Sign-up Criteria?

<u>Yes</u>

Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:

#### **Protect Parcels**

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing
					Protection
<u>11-01-19-04</u>	<u>Cass</u>	<u>13330207</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>\$83,200</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>11-03-18-04</u>	<u>Cass</u>	<u>13330209</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>\$40,900</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>18-01-19-04</u>	Crow Wing	<u>04331230</u>	<u>115</u>	<u>\$150,900</u>	<u>No</u>
<u> 18-02-19-04</u>	Crow Wing	<u>04332212</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>\$40,800</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>18-11-18-04</u>	Crow Wing	<u>13329227</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>\$67,500</u>	<u>No</u>
Tiller	Crow Wing	04332226	59	\$100,000	No
Tiller	Crow Wing	04332227	65	\$107,000	No
49-01-19-04	<u>Morrison</u>	<u>13231210</u>	<u>177</u>	<u>\$238,300</u>	<u>No</u>
49-02-19-04	<u>Morrison</u>	<u>13030215</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>\$71,000</u>	<u>No</u>
49-18-19-04	<u>Morrison</u>	<u>13029207</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>\$34,600</u>	<u>No</u>
49-28-18-04	<u>Morrison</u>	13030236	<u>58</u>	\$115,100	<u>No</u>

